

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,566	10/30/2001	Naoki Tagami	112857-300	6359	
29175 7:	590 08/25/2005		EXAMINER		
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC P. O. BOX 1135			DETWILER, BRIAN J		
CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2173		
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/016,566	TAGAMI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Brian J. Detwiler	2173		

	Dilai 3. Detwiei	2175	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	-	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		136(a) and the annronria	te extension fee
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	••		
(c)  ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		ompliant Amendment (	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Cool Statements	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	will not be entered, or b)      will not be entered, or b)      will not be entered.	II be entered and an e	explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov			•
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2 and 5-13.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
IO. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
1.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s).	
3. Other:	, , , , , ,	11/	
	<u> </u>		···
		OHN CABECA	
		onn cabeca Pry patent examin	FP
	<del>-</del>	LOGY CENTER 2100	<b>L</b> 1

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Application No. 10/016,566

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the examiner maintains that the combination of Godefroid and Appelman anticipates the claimed invention as discussed in the Final Office action mailed 17 May 2005. Specifically, with respect to applicant's argument that the prior art of record fails to teach a list of predetermined spatial locations in the virtual user space, the examiner submits that the broadest reasonable interpretation of "virtual user space" and "predetermined spatial locations" coincides with Godefroid's collaborative environment and Appelman's bookmarks.